

Document Type Document Number Date Revision State Confidentiality Level Page Agenda 7 1 (1) Preliminary Internal

1(4)

Charge to the TAC for its 18th meeting on October 17-19, 2018

1. Introduction

The ESS construction project is now $\sim 50\%$ complete and progress has continued at a high pace even during the summer months. Concerning Conventional Facilities, the Target station building has reached the height of the high bay floor, the long distance experimental hall and the logistics building are erected, the A2T connection between accelerator and Target is an advanced stage of construction... For Accelerator, Target and ICS, most components are now in construction, either through in-kind partners or directly in industry. Prototypes are being tested (Spoke cryomodule from IPNO in Uppsala, Elliptical cavity cryomodule in Saclay etc.). Klystrons for the Medium beta section of the linac have started arriving. The infrastructure installation organization is fully operational and it is now focusing on the first 100 meters of the klystron gallery.

Beam commissioning of the ion source and LEBT has started in the tunnel. It is managed by the operations team from the Cryogenics control room in G02. The RF Test Stand in the klystron gallery has started being used. Delivery and installation of the concrete blocks for the cryomodule bunker will begin at the end of October.

The new baseline which had been prepared during the first half of the year has successfully passed the review held in May and it has been accepted by the ESS Council. It foresees that Accelerator will be Ready for 570 MeV Beam On Target (Accelerator RBOT) by mid-2021, Target will be Ready for Beam On Target (Target RBOT) during Q1-2022, bunker and test beam line will allow Beam On Target (BOT) by mid-2022 and the Start of User Programme (SOUP) will be at the end of 2023.

A visit of the site in the afternoon of the second day will give to the TAC members the opportunity to judge the status of advancement. More details will be provided by the different subprojects during the meeting.

| Document Type | Agenda |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Document Number | ESS-0067537 |
| Date | Sep 8, 2016 |
| Revision | 1 (1) |
| State | Preliminary |
| Confidentiality Level | Internal |

2. Charge questions

The following questions to the Committee address present concerns of the different subprojects:

- for the **Accelerator**:

a1) Is the planned organization for installation, testing and commissioning likely to result in an operating accelerator that meets its requirements in a timely manner?

a2) Are there any suggestions for improving the schedule performance of in-kind partners?

a3) Are the schedules for accelerator and utilities installation reasonable? Are there any reasonable strategies for reducing the schedule?

a4) Are the presented testing and commissioning plans appropriate?

a5) Is the strategy for high level readiness reviews reasonable?

a6) Please comment on the experience so far from the ion source and LEBT commissioning and operations. Does this experience and the lessons learned bode well for future linac operations?

a7) Have we missed anything?

- for the **Target**:

t1) Concerning "Update on Target Station Accident Analyses":

• Does the design philosophy and the methodology for dose calculations provide a solid basis for licensing of the Target Station? We also ask the committee to advice on how to improve the efficiency of the procedure for dose calculations.

t2) Concerning "Monolith inner (water-cooled) shielding":

- Will the presented technical solution, for the inner water-cooled monolith shielding, be a reasonable compromise to conflicting and challenging requirements?
- *t3)* Concerning "Scheme for beam lines alignment":
 - Will the envisioned installation scheme, in the end, provide satisfactory alignment precision for the neutron science instrument in cold and hot conditions?
- t4) Concerning "Update on waste management":
 - Will the pursued technical solutions for waste management of filters and contaminated water assure safe, efficient and reliable maintenance work within the Target Station? Proper ALARA?

| Document Type | Agenda |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Document Number | ESS-0067537 |
| Date | Sep 8, 2016 |
| Revision | 1 (1) |
| State | Preliminary |
| Confidentiality Level | Internal |

t5) Concerning interplay between Controls, MPS, TSS and Control Room:

• Is the philosophy presented sound and appropriate for a safe and reliable operation of the ESS machine? Operations, Asset Protection and Safety.

- for the **Integrated Control System (ICS):**

c1) Concerning the ICS construction and initial operations activities:

- Are the presented strategies for ensuring the quality of the control system appropriate?
- Are the principles for prioritizing and allocating resources to stakeholder activities adequate, what alternatives exist?
- *Have we missed anything are there any particular difficulties that we could expect?*

c2) Concerning the operational aspects of ICS:

- Are the plans for machine modes and timing integration appropriate and complete enough?
- Please comment on any weaknesses in the planned integration and interaction of machine subsystems with ICS?

c3) Concerning the new baseline for the ICS construction project plan:

- *Is the new baseline for the construction project plan appropriate do you find any particular weaknesses?*
- Is the planning methodology, including planning quality objectives, sufficient and relevant?

c4) Concerning the ICS platforms for beam instrumentation:

- Are the use cases for using the ICS technical platforms sufficiently developed? Has anything been missed?
- Do you have comments to the plan for deploying the ICS platforms for beam instrumentation?

c5) Concerning the ESS machine protection system of systems

- Is the analysis method and integration strategy appropriate for implementing machine protection into the complex accelerator and target systems?
- Is the verification and validation strategy for the fast beam interlock system (FBIS) appropriate? What are the issues?
- Is the integration of machine protection strategies into operations likely to be successful?

c6) Concerning the automation of control system configuration:

- Is the concept for automation of control system configuration valid from an operational/practical point of view?
- Please comment on any potential issues with the presented automation of control system configuration?

Document TypeAgendaDocument NumberESS-0067537DateSep 8, 2016Revision1 (1)StatePreliminaryConfidentiality LevelInternal

The Committee is encouraged to provide also suggestions/comments and recommendations on any other subject it would find relevant. Feedback on the follow-up of former TAC recommendations is welcome.

A preliminary version of the TAC report is expected during the close-out session in the afternoon of Friday 19, October. The final report is expected before the end of October. The Chairman will orally present the TAC#18 report to the ESS Council on December 3-4.