

Document Type
Document Number
Date
Revision
State
Confidentiality
Level

Page

Organisation ESS-1080776 Mar 15, 2019 1 (3) Preliminary Internal

1(3)

# Charge to TAC 19, April 10-12, 2019

#### 1. Introduction

Accelerator, Target and ICS subprojects are now respectively 54, 43 and 54% complete, contributing to the 57% level nowadays attained by the whole ESS construction project. It materializes highly visibly at Lund with the delivery of numerous equipment (waveguides, klystrons, Target HVAC system...) and the progress of construction (bunker for Test Stand 2, assembly room for DTL tanks, progress with infrastructure installation in G02...). TS-2 is regularly operating at 1.5 MW RF and it will soon be complemented with cryogenics in the bunker to allow testing elliptical cavities cryomodules. The first test will be done with the cryomodule prototype recently shipped from Saclay. The Accelerator cryoplant is now operational and sometimes used to fill Dewars for Lund University. Infrastructure installation in the klystron gallery is moving actively forward.

Progress is also taking place at the partners' locations like for example with the test of the prototype spoke cryo module in Uppsala, the finalization of moderator and reflector in Julich, the realization of 3<sup>rd</sup> version prototypes of Target wheel and proton beam window in Bilbao etc. The first series klystron modulator should be under test before the TAC#19 meeting starts.

Copper plating of the DTL tanks (work package of INFN Legnaro) is now advancing as scheduled. Series manufacturing of all types of superconducting cavities is taking place (spoke, medium beta and high beta elliptical cavities). Teams are ready for assembling cryomodules.

New large procurement contracts have been signed by ESS and partners (klystrons for high beta section of the linac, inner and outer target shielding, NBEX manufacturing, ...) Power supplies have been damaged during commissioning of the ion source and they had to be sent back to manufacturer for repair. The reason has been understood and the necessary changes implemented.

Overall advancement is basically in line with the new baseline schedule, although some specific work packages still deserve attention and may require mitigation (e.g. delivery of 352 MHz waveguides by ESS-Bilbao, RFQ by CEA, RF amplifiers for spoke cavities by Elettra, ...).

Document Type Organisation
Document Number ESS-1080776
Revision 1 (3)

Date State Confidentiality Level Mar 15, 2019 Preliminary Internal

### 2. Charge questions

The following questions to the Committee address present concerns of the different subprojects:

#### - for the **Accelerator**:

- a1) Please comment on the experience so far from the ion source and LEBT commissioning and operations. Does this experience and the lessons learned bode well for future linac operations?
- a2) Do you have proposal for late changes to the suite of beam instrumentation at ESS and/or do you think the planning and staffing is correct for the proposed work? Do you have proposal for priorities for the beam instrumentation if we can't complete all systems in time?
- a3) Work on SRF for ESS will increasingly transfer to the ESS site and the ESS test stands in Uppsala and Lund. Please give your view on the presented plans, we especially need your comments on the schedule and the staffing of this work.
- a4) Do you have comments on the operation planning for the ESS accelerator? Is the operations section staffing and training adequate to support the near-term (few years) commissioning needs?
- a5) Accelerator and ICS work closely together and will have to work even more closely in the future for the testing and commissioning phase. Please comment on the methods for priorities and resource allocation. Are there other ways to organize this work which we should consider?
- *a7) Have we missed anything?*

#### - for the **Target**:

- t1) Concerning "Target wheel design and manufacturing challenges, includes the seal and drive unit":
  - Will the presented technical solution, for the Target Wheel be a reasonable compromise to conflicting and challenging requirements?
  - Are the schedule risks sufficiently managed to prevent impact on RBOT?
- t2) Concerning "NBOA and Port Insert, design, tolerances, interface with NSS":
  - Will the presented technical solution, for the NBEX system be a reasonable compromise to conflicting and challenging requirements?
  - Are the schedule risks sufficiently managed to prevent impact on BOT?
- t3) Concerning "Update on the plant areas (water cooling plant, filter areas, etc.) and the active handling":

Document Type Organisation
Document Number ESS-1080776
Revision 1 (3)

State Confidentiality Level Mar 15, 2019 Preliminary Internal

• Will the pursued technical solutions for water cooling and waste management of filters and contaminated water assure safe, efficient and reliable maintenance work within the Target Station? Proper ALARA?

- t4) Concerning "Follow up on the inner shielding, including the selected design and progress, Team will see the mockup at RATS":
  - Will the presented technical solution to water cooled inner shielding be acceptable to minimize the risk of leakage?

## - for the **Integrated Control System (ICS):**

- c1) Is the updated control system integration strategy appropriate and adequate? Suggestion for improvement are welcome.
- c2) Do you see any improvements that can be made using recent learnt lessons?
- c3) Is the way forward for controls development and integration of instruments feasible?
- c4) Is the safety classification work for basic process control systems appropriate and adequate?
- c5) Is the integration of machine protection strategies into operations likely to be successful?

### - for the Engineering and Integration Services (EIS):

- el) Is the proposed approach for interacting with the in-kind partners going to lead to delivered documentation of the proper quality and usability? Additional suggestions are welcome.
- e2) Is the level of Configuration Management and Change Control, provided by Spatial Integration, on the right level to fulfil ESS requirements on Facility Documentation?
- e3) Is the strategy for centralized support of mechanical design convincingly fulfilling requirements and needs for production, installation and future operations?

The Committee is encouraged to provide also suggestions/comments and recommendations on any other subject it would find relevant. Feedback on the follow-up of former TAC recommendations is welcome.

A preliminary version of the TAC report is expected during the closeout session in the afternoon of Friday 12, April. The final report is expected before the end of October. The Chairman will orally present the TAC#19 report to the ESS Council on June 4-5.