



Foreseen Issues because of Uniqueness of ESS - What are differences from J-PARC -What can we learn from the lesson learnt at J-PARC ? MA's view

Consider, unique characters of ESS different from other existing spallation sources

ESS's uniquenesses are as following;

- 1. 5MW long pulsed source
- 2. rastering injection from accelerator to target
- 3. Rotating target, Helium cooling
- 4. No heavy shutter in the Monolith shield.
- 5. Thin butterfly moderator.
- 6. Bunker's big common volume
- 7. Instrument installation will not be completed. (but this happens at any sources.)
- 8. Proton energy ramping-up results in a change of neutron production volume
- 9. Timing: insensitive to jitter?



First Production of Neutrons in 2008 May 30th (1st -BOT).



Presenters from J-PARC



Operation History since Day-1 (May 30, 2008) (started with 4kW), (one-run: 2 weeks)





Acc. Status in 2009 - 2010, 120 kW , 3Y after 1st BOT 1000 trips in 3 cycles (in 2 x 3 Weeks)





Instrument installation/operation history as of 2012

(almost no difficulty in successive installations)





Hg target, moderators, and reflector system

(Target sits still on beam. Easily maintained at hot cell)

TRM assembly





Heavy Shutter (25t) in Monolith with Optics (Shut neutrons to instruments. Easily maintained from the top)





Heavy Beam shutters in Monolith (2m^w, 4m^h, 25t)



Moderator/reflector system



Bunker surrounds Monolith (Bulk Shield) .

Each beam port is essentially separated.

Allowed different inst. installation phase together with shutter-close and temp. shields





Construction and commissioning/operation co-existable with shutter and temp. shield

A



Confirmation of designed performances *Importance of collaboration between Target & Inst. Teams*

Development of Neutronics code PHITS (~ MNCP): precision ($\pm 20\%$) Energy in $10^9 \Rightarrow 10^{-3}$ eV order of 12 Intensity $10^{17} \Rightarrow 10^8$ order of 9

Observation of absolute flux



Confirmation of the calculations of JSNS
Finding out something wrong in BL alignment





Jacket design was not robust for evacuation ¹³

Alignment scheme helped by accelerator group



center

shielding





ESS Accelerator system



Rastering Proton injection to Target (spreading heat deposition)





Time to the final protons to target after a failure detection : $20\mu s$ (2.5kW/spot, 5kW/cm²) (Redundancy of magnets may prevent deadly failure, but in a case at low Ep it may give damage)





Proton distribution on target

Rotating W target

(2.5m diam, ~0.5Hz, He gas cooling, 10atm)

(7000 bricks of 10 x 30 x 80 mm each)



Target Monolith To omit Proton-Beam-Window $(t_{1/2}=0.5y) \rightarrow vacuum$





Experiment (Harada et al.) at J-PARC confirmed calculation (Kai et at. 2003)

Power Ramping-up and increasing Ep (Design is for 2GeV)

Changing Ep changes neutron production volume in the target



Heterogeneous shape of moderator gives complication to the flux at different ports.



Flux of Instruments will have quite complicated dependences with Ep, Wp, shape of Moderator and port position of Inst.

Neutronics Calc. & Absolute flux observation is indispensable.

Collaboration btw TD & NSS indispensable

(J-PARC: always 3GeV, Only Wp changed)



Relative Inst. Performance against the best configuration at each Ep.



Bunker Shield and Monolith (5.5m radius vs 7.5m of J-PARC) Narrow beam separation 5°-6° after J-PARC's long instruments \rightarrow 42 beam ports (some ports are already blocked by neighbour and already unusable)



Common volume in bunker at J-PARC

ESS's narrow beam separation was taken from J-PARC. $(6 \times 6.7^{\circ}, 6 \times 12^{\circ}, 11 \times 15^{\circ} \rightarrow 23 \text{ beam lines})$





Light Shutter System (LSS)

Beam separation 5° - 6°,

ESS intended to have pulse shaping chopper as much close to source as possible.

Monolith diam. is 5.5m much thinner than 7.5 m of J-PARC

Rotating target, 2.5m diam.

→ ESS could not have heavy shutter in Monolith, but LSS outside Monolith instead.
But LSS is built as a Gamma blocker from the source during shut-down maintenance;
Open LSS on BOT, Close LSS during shut-down.





Inst installation schedule

Delay of BOT (May 2025) helps, but how we can secure the inst. Intallation afer 1st BOT.

1) All LSS open on BOT.

Empty volume

LSS

- 2) Common in-bunker volume
- 3) Heterogenious installation schedule
- 4) Some inst. Not ready for safety system.



All Inserts

NSS RBOT

installed

Instruments delivering user

dav



Backup

Operation mode in JFY 2009 (20kW)

one week Tuning/Study, 2 weeks user run.

2nd year after 1st BOT

								F	Ŧ۲	200	9	Aco	cel	era	tor C)pe	rati	ion	Са	ler	Ida	r								
	fc	orι	lse	er				Tuning & study — Maintenance																						
	And															lune									haba					
Sup	Mon	Apri	Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat		Sup	Mor	May	Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat		Sun	Mon	June	s Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat		Sup	Mon	July	Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat
Jun	mon	100	1	2	3	4		Jun	mor	100		THU	1	2		oun	1	2	3	4	5	6		Jun	mon	Tue	1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11		3	4	5	6	7	8	9		7	8	9	10	11	12	13		5	6	7	8	- 9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18		10	11	12	13	14	15	16		14	15	16	17	18	19	20		12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25		17	18	19	20	21	22	23		21	22	23	24	25	26	27		19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30				24	25	26	27	28	29	30		28	29	30						26	27	28	29	30	31	
					0	19		31													15	22							0	0
													13	21																
		August						September				er						Octo	ober							Nov	embe	r		
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat		Sun	Mor	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat		Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat		Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
						1				1	2	3	4	5						1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	3	4	5	6	7	8		6	7	8	9	10	11	12		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		8	9	10	11	12	13	14
9	10	11	12	13	14	15		13	14	15	16	17	18	19		11	12	13	14	15	16	17		15	16	17	18	19	20	21
16	17	18	19	20	21	22		20	21	22	23	24	25	26		18	19	20	21	22	23	24		22	23	24	25	26	27	28
23	24	25	26	27	28	29		27	28	29	30			_		25	26	27	28	29	30	31		29	30				10	0.0
30	31				0	-							U	U							10	28							19	23
		December								Jan	1000							Febr					44 00			Mar	a b			
Sup	Mon	lon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat				Sun	Mor	Tue	Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat		Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat	30	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Eri	Sat		
Jun	-	1	2	3	4	5		Jun	10101	100		1114	1	2		oun	1	2	3	4	5	6		oun	1	2	3	4	5	6
6	7	8	9	10	11	12		3	4	5	6	7	8	9		7	8	9	10	11	12	13		7	8	9	10	11	12	13
13	14	15	16	17	18	19		10	11	12	13	14	15	16		14	15	16	17	18	19	20		14	15	16	17	18	19	20
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		17	18	19	20	21	22	23		21	22	23	24	25	26	27		21	22	23	24	25	26	27
27	28	29	30	31				24	25	26	27	28	29	30		28								28	29	30	31			
					17	21		31													16	20							0	15
														~									<u>66</u>							
	-	Tot	al	ор	era	ati	on t	im	e 1	_90) d	ays	5,ι	lse	r op	er	ati	on	11	L0	da	ys.							<u>100</u>	
			hd	itic	n	nσ	of)	Re	an	י. הכי	to	<u>ר</u> ר	hoi	irc		or		lav		-								
				ilit	, , ,	ııg		u c	۲.	De	an	13		5 4	100	213	CV.	er	y U	ay	•									
		20	ho	ours	s us	ser	run	and	d 4	ho	urs	s RF	Q	cor	nditio	oni	ng)													

Beam Power History at MLF

power (kW)

Beam

* as of 26th of June 2014

1) Narrow beam separation enabled 42 ports. (pro)

2) Shorter diam. of Monolith enabled Pulse Shaping Chopper closer to the source earning wider band-width. (pro)

3) Unable to have heavy shutter in Monolith (con)

4) Only LSS outside Monolith but as a gamma blocker on shutdown-maintenance (con)

3) & 4) give difficulty of maintenance and further installation of instruments

Inst installation schedule and Layout of a instrument

Delay of BOT could help, but how we can secure the inst. intallation afer 1st BOT.

1) All LSS open on BOT.

2) Common in-bunker volume

3) Heterogenious installation schedule

4) Some inst. Not ready for safety system.

In-bunker Common

Empty volume

LSS

