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## What is the Question?

- I am studying an atom, molecule or particle...
- Metal complex, organic, protein, DNA, RNA, complex, etc...
- What is its shape? Domains? Oligomer?
- Which parts are important for its function?
- Where or what is its active site?
- Which residues are critical for binding substrates?
- What are the factors of specificity?
- What are the structural changes between different states?
- What are the dynamics?
- etc...
- What is its 3D structure at atomic resolution?


## Problems, problems, problems...

## Muns



- Some hurdles
- Particle size
- 10 - $100 \AA$
- Probing photon wavelength
< particle size
- $\lambda<10 \AA$
- Orientation
- Random in solution, amorphous solid \& gas
- Fragility
- C - C bond 5.76e-19 J
- 12.4 keV photon 1.99e-15 J
- 3454 times more !!!
- Weak signal
- to see a particle, the probe must interact with it.


## One solution...



- Crystallise it!
- Orient the particles the same way
- Crystals have top, bottom, front, back, left \& right
- Repetitive units
- Combines weak signals
- Multiple copies resists radiation damage
- Employ phenomena of diffraction
- Interaction without destruction!


## Diffraction \& Reciprocal Space

## Young's Experiment (1803) Revisited



## Diffraction Geometry from a Slit

Path Difference $=\mathrm{n} \lambda=\mathrm{d}_{\text {slit }} \sin \delta$
 1D Diffraction (Slits) \& Reciprocity

- Consider the multiple atom experiment
- A smaller spacing (x) between slits or atoms in the lattice yields....
- a larger separation between diffraction spots (1/x)
- Each diffraction spot has its own Miller index, (h)
- Each Miller index represents a vector in real space, [h]



## Diffraction from a Grid (2D) \& its Reciprocal Space

Grid of $5 \times 5$ atoms

 2D Reciprocal Space Miller indices \& Lattice lines


## Lattice Lines, Vectors \& Indices

Plane : $(1,1,0)$

$x$-ray scattering


## S ĹEIL Crystals: Lattice Planes in 3D Space


(100)

(110)

(111)

- Crystals contain sets of lattice planes denoted by Miller indices (hkl)
- The orientation of a set of lattice planes can be represented by a vector
- This vector is perpendicular (normal) to the set of planes


## Bragg's Law



- X-ray energy conserved
- $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{\text {hkl }}$
- When the crystal is rotated
- A different set of planes diffract


## 1D, 2D \& 3D Diffraction



1D
Lattice points [h]

Unit cell parameters


2D
Lattice lines
[hk]

a, b, $\alpha$
?

3D
Lattice planes
[hkl]

$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$

## Ewald Construction Derived

- Uses wave vectors \& reciprocal space to explain diffraction patterns
- $\left|\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{0}\right|=1 / \lambda$
- Energy is conserved
- $\left|\underline{s}_{0}\right|=\left|\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{n k \mid}\right|$
$-\underline{\mathbf{S}}_{\text {hkl }}-\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{0}=\underline{\mathbf{d}}^{\boldsymbol{*}}{ }_{\text {hkl }}$
- $\frac{\mathbf{d}^{*}}{d_{h k l}}$ is perpendicular to diffracting planes
- $\left|\underline{d}_{n k \mid}^{*}\right|=1 / d_{h k \mid}$
- The scattering vector\$ describe a sphere
- Radius $=1 / \lambda$
- Reciprocal space
- Lattice of points
- $a^{*}, b^{*}, c^{*}$


## Stills: No Rotation

Figures courtesy of Z. Dauter (1999)


Figure 3
A still exposure with a stationary crystal contains only a small number of reflections arranged in a set of narrow ellipses.

## Rotation Method



Figure 2
To bring more reflection into diffraction, the crystal represented by the reciprocal lattice has to rotate.


Figure 4
When the crystal is rotated, reflections from the same plane in the reciprocal lattice form a lune, limited by two ellipses corresponding to the start and end positions.

An X-ray diffraction pattern from a crystal


## Summary of Reciprocal Space

- Reciprocal space is NOT discrete, but rather continuous
- but Bragg reflections are discrete points in Reciprocal space (h,k,I)
- For larger / smaller unit cell lengths (a, b, c)
- Diffraction spots are closer together / further apart ( $a^{*}, b^{*}, c^{*}$ )
- The crystal lies at the center of the Ewald sphere
- Scattering vectors have the same length because energy is conserved
- The ensemble of all of the possible scattering vectors $\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{n k 1}$ describe a sphere
- The radius of the Ewald sphere is $1 / \lambda$
- Long X-ray wavelengths produce a small Ewald sphere
- Short X-ray wavelengths produce a large Ewald sphere
- The origin of Reciprocal space:
- lies at the intersection of $\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{0}$ and the Ewald sphere
- All of the diffraction vectors $\underline{\mathbf{d}}^{*}$ originate from the origin of reciprocal space Not all points in Reciprocal Space will diffract
- Only those points that lie on the Ewald sphere will diffract
- The origin of Reciprocal Space is fixed
- but Reciprocal Space rotates exactly as the crystal rotates
- $\underline{\mathbf{a}}^{*}, \underline{\mathbf{b}}^{*} \& \underline{\mathbf{c}}^{*}$ are not necessarily orthogonal to $\underline{\mathbf{a}}, \underline{\mathbf{b}}, \underline{\mathbf{c}}$

From Scattering to Structure Factors


## MMN


?

## Types of Scattering

- X-rays
- Electrons (density)
- Elastic (diffraction)
- Inelastic (absorption)
- Neutrons
- nuclei (H/D)

- Electrons
- Electrostatic charges or fields


## X-ray Scattering Analogy

- X-ray scattering is the interaction between the electric vector, $\mathbf{E}$, of the incident $X$-ray and an electron.
- The scattered X-ray:
- has the same wavelength (elastic scattering)
- is isotropic (equal in all directions)



## Scattering from 2 Electrons

The net amplitude of the scattered
? wave is modified!

Phase shift in radians

$$
\Delta \varphi=2 \pi \underline{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \underline{r}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Path difference } \\
& =\left(\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{1} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{r}}\right)-\left(\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{0} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{r}}\right) \\
& =\left(\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{1}-\underline{\mathbf{s}}_{0}\right) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{r}} \\
& =\underline{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The phase shift is derived from the positions of the scatterers!

## Scattering from Many Voxels



## Structure Factors

- Scattering is a wave function described by a structure factor
- Any given point, $\underline{S}$, in reciprocal space is associated with a complex number called a structure factor, $F(\underline{S})$.
- A structure factor, $F(\underline{S})$,
- is the summation of all scattered waves along $\underline{S}$,
- has amplitude, $|F(\underline{S})|$, and phase, $\varphi(\underline{S})$.




## Structure Factor Equations

For continuous reciprocal space (e.g. WAXS) employ $\underline{\boldsymbol{S}}$ and $\underline{\boldsymbol{r}}$

$$
\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{S}})=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{r}}) e^{2 \pi i \underline{S} \cdot \underline{r}} d r
$$

For $\underline{\text { discrete }}$ reciprocal space (e.g. MX) employ $\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}$ and $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}$

- Miller indices, $\underline{h}$
- fractional coordinates, $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}$

$$
\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})=\int_{0}^{1} \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) e^{2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{x}} d x
$$

## Form Factor Equation

$$
\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})=\int_{0}^{1} \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}) e^{2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}} d x
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|f_{j}\right| e^{2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{x}_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- for $N$ particles at positions $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{j}$
- form factor of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ particle $=\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- Amplitude $=\left|f_{j}\right|$
- Phase $=\varphi_{j}=2 \pi \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{j}$


## Atomic Form (Scattering) Factors

- Atoms \& ions are diffuse
- Like clouds of electrons
- Atomic Form Factors
- Units = electrons (X-rays)
- For $\theta=0, \mathrm{f}=$ number electrons
- Depends upon oxidation state
- Decays with $\sin (\theta) / \lambda$
- More diffuse $\rho(r)$ leads to faster
decay in $f(\theta)$


Intensity Equation

- The intensity of the scattered wave, $l(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})$, is determined by multiplying the structure factor, $\underline{\boldsymbol{F}}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})$, by its complex conjugate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})=\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}) \times \boldsymbol{F}^{*}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}_{j} e^{2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{x}_{j}} \times \sum_{k=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}_{k} e^{-2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}_{j} \boldsymbol{f}_{k} e^{2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot\left(\underline{x}_{j}-\underline{x}_{k}\right)} \\
& =|\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Reconstucting Density, $\rho(\underline{x})$

## Fourier Theorem \& Series

Jean-Baptisite Joseph FOURIER (1768-1830)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{S}})=\int \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{r}}) e^{2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{S}} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{r}}} d r \\
& \rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{r}})=\int F(\underline{\boldsymbol{S}}) e^{-2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{S}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

For repeating systems, such as a crystal ( $\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ ), the integral develops into a summation of the coefficients at discrete points in reciprocal space ( $\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}$ ):


## Fourier Series

- For repeating systems, the integral develops into a sum
- crystal with a repeating density, $\rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})$,
- sum the coefficients at discrete points in reciprocal space, $F(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\rho(\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})=\sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}} \boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}) e^{-2 \pi i \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}} \\
\underline{\boldsymbol{x}})=\sum|\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})| e^{i \varphi(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})} e^{-2 \pi \underline{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \underline{x}}
\end{array} \\
& \text { Amplitude } \\
& \text { Phase } \\
& \text { Sinusoid }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example of a Fourier Series

- From an Outline of Crystallography for Biologists Blow (2002)

Fig. 4.12 Fourier summation based on a non-centrosymmetric structure with atoms at $x=0,0.2$, 0.5 . The Fourier terms have various phases, $\alpha(h)$.


## Properties of Fourier Series

- Conception of "resolution"
- Higher order harmonics (ㄴ, Miller indices) provide more detail!
- Completion
- All coefficients $|\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})|$ contribute to the reconstruction of the map
- Stronger amplitudes contribute more
- Weaker amplitudes contribute less

Effects of Completion
 Effects of Completion
 Effects of Completion


## Effects of Completion



## Properties of Fourier Series

- Conception of "resolution"
- Higher order harmonics (ㄴ, Miller indices) provide more detail!
- Completion
- All coefficients $|\boldsymbol{F}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})|$ contribute to the reconstruction of the map
- Stronger amplitudes contribute more
- Weaker amplitudes contribute less
- Importance of phases
- The phases, $\varphi(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})$, are very, very important...
- This is known as the Phase Problem


## The Phase Problem

## Duck \& Cat Fourier Transforms

Courtesy of Kevin Cowtan
http://www.yorvic.york.ac.uk/~cowtan/fourier/fourier.html


0-dimensional diffraction experiment

duck


FT(duck)

cat


Amplitude $=$ intensity
Phase = colour


FT(cat)

## Duck \& Cat Cross Phasing



The Phase Problem

If we wish to determine a structure with the Fourier series...

- Q: Can we directly measure phases?
- No, although phases can be determined indirectly...
- Q: Can we apply random phases?
- No, we will just get noise...
- Q: Can we set all phases to a constant?
- No, this is akin to an auto-correlation (Patterson) function...
- Q: Can we ignore the phases?
- No, because the phases are derived from the positions of the scatterers!
- Thus, the inverse is also true, the positions of the scatterers are derived from the phases!

SYNCHROTRON

## Solving the Phase Problem

- Small molecules (<200 non-H atoms)
- Heavy Atom Method
- A small number of relatively heavier atoms with dominate the phases...
- Direct Methods
- $\varphi(\underline{\boldsymbol{H}})+\varphi(\underline{\boldsymbol{H}}-\underline{\boldsymbol{K}})+\varphi(\underline{\boldsymbol{H}}-\underline{\boldsymbol{L}})=0$
- if $\underline{\boldsymbol{H}}-\underline{\boldsymbol{K}}-\underline{\boldsymbol{L}}=\mathbf{0}$, and if $|E(\underline{\boldsymbol{H}})|,|E(\underline{\boldsymbol{K}})|,|E(\underline{\boldsymbol{L}})|>1.5$
- Very high resolution data
- beyond $1.2 \AA$ A
- Macromolecules (> 200 non-H atoms)
- Molecular Replacement
- Six-dimensional search with a suitable starting model
- >30\% sequence identity
- Very big impact by AlphaFold2 et al.
- Single \& Multiple Isomorphous Replacement (SIR \& MIR)
- Add a heavy atom to the crystal structure
- Hg, Pt, etc...
- Single \& Multiple-wavelength Anomalous Diffraction (SAD \& MAD)
- Collect at X-ray wavelengths near and/or above an absorption edge
- Intrinsic heavy atom (P, S, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Se, Br, etc...)
- Incorporated heavy atom (Hg, Pt, I, lanthanides, polyoxometalate clusters, etc...)


## Molecular Replacement

- 3-dimensional Rotation Search

- 3-dimensional Translation Search



## Comparison of MAD \& MIR



- Both methods use the heavy atom sites as references to phase for the crystal structure


## The Effect of Anomalous Scattering

normal scattering
anomalous scattering

$$
\lambda f(\mathbf{h})=f^{\circ}(\mathbf{h})+\lambda f^{\prime}(\mathbf{h})+\boldsymbol{i}^{\lambda} f^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{h})
$$



${ }^{\lambda} f^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{h})$ always lags $90^{\circ}(+\boldsymbol{i})$ behind $f^{\circ}(\mathbf{h})$ !

Variation of Anomalous Scattering Factors $f^{\prime}$ \& f"


## Finding Heavy (Reference) Atoms

- Patterson Methods
- | $\underline{E}_{P H}(h)\left|-\left|\underline{F}_{P}(h)\right|\right.$ or $| \underline{E}_{P H}(+h)\left|-\left|\underline{E}_{P H}(-h)\right|\right.$
- Good for few heavy atoms
- $N<5$
- Equivalent to heavy atom method
- Small molecule metal complexes
- Direct Methods
- Good for several heavy and equal atoms
- $5<\mathrm{N}<150$
- More complicated \& statistical method
- Originally used for organic molecules (C, H, N, O, etc...)

Hendrickson-Lattman Coefficients

$$
P(\varphi)=N \exp (A \cos (\varphi)+B \sin (\varphi)+C \cos (2 \varphi)+D \sin (2 \varphi))
$$




Courtesy http://ccp4wiki.org/~ccp4wiki/wiki/index.php?title=Hendrickson_Lattman_coefficients

## MIR or MAD Phasing


(a)


(b)


(c)


Courtesy McCoy \& Read, 2010


SIR Phasing

(a)

(d)

(b)

(e)

(c)

( $f$ )
Courtesy McCoy \& Read, 2010

## SAD Phasing



## Different Phasing Methods



$$
P(\varphi)=N \exp (A \cos (\varphi)+B \sin (\varphi)+C \cos (2 \varphi)+D \sin (2 \varphi))
$$

## Interpretation, Refinement \& Validation

Interpretation

- Tracing polypeptide mainchain
- Fitting of sidechains
- Solvent molecules
- Refinement
- Constrained \& Restrained
- Low observable:parameter ratio

- Least Squares \& Maximum Likelihood
- R-factors
- Rwork, Rfree
- Validation

$$
R=\frac{\sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}}| | F_{o b s}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})\left|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})\right|\right|}{\sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{h}}}\left|F_{o b s}(\underline{\boldsymbol{h}})\right|}
$$

- Checks on geometry (stereochemistry)
- Bond lengths \& angles, planarity, etc...
- Clashes
- Intermolecular contacts
- Spurious density
- $2 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {obs }}-\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ \& $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}-\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$



## The Experimental Set Up

## The Basic Experimental Set Up

- Source
- X-rays, electrons, neutrons
- Energy
- Bandpass
- Flux
- Focussing
- Cross-section size
- Divergence
- Instrumentation
- Monochromators
- Mirrors
- Slits
- Shutters
- Goniometer
- Single or multi-axis
- Centering stages
- Motorisation
- Microscope
- Beamstop
- Sample
- Mounting
- Loop
- Capillary
- Chip
- Robotics?
- Environment
- Temperature?
- Humidity?
- Laser?


- Detector
- Point, line, area
- Substrate
- Pixel size \& number
- Frame rate
- Shutterless?





## Steps in a Diffraction Experiment



- Align the beam \& centre the crystal
- Align the beam to the rotation axis
- Center the crystal visually
- Center with X -rays, if necessary
- Characterise
- Small wedges of images
- e.g. $10 \times 0.1^{\circ}$ at $\omega=0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$
- Check centering
- Check for diffraction
- Quality and resolution
- Inspect summed images $\left(\Delta \omega>1^{\circ}\right)$
- Check auto-indexing results
- If implemented
- Collect
- Adjust data collection parameters
- Resolution or distance
- Attenuation factor
- Rotation step size $(\Delta \omega)$
- Set starting angles ( $\omega_{\text {start }}$ )

Data Processing


## Reciprocal Space Vectors \& Indexing



- Indexing
- Generate all vectors between spots in "Reciprocal Space"
- Find the "common vectors"
- Determine a "Reduced Cell"
- $a^{*}, b^{*}, c^{*}, \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, \gamma^{*}$
- Assign Miller indices (h,k,l) to each spot
- Transform to all lattices
- Calculate a penalty


## Unit Cells \& Bravais Lattices

- 14 Bravais Lattices
- 7 Lattice Systems
- Trinclinic (a = anorthic)
- $a \neq b \neq c, a \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq 90^{\circ}$
- Monoclinic ( m )
- $a \neq b \neq c, \alpha=\gamma=90^{\circ}, \beta \neq 90^{\circ}$
- Orthorhombic (o)
- $a \neq b \neq c, \alpha=\beta=y=90^{\circ}$
- Tetragonal (t)
- $a=b \neq c, a=\beta=y=90^{\circ}$
- Rhombohedral (r)
- $a=b=c, a=\beta=\gamma \neq 90^{\circ}$
- Hexagonal (h)
- $a=b \neq c, a=\beta=90^{\circ}, y=120^{\circ}$
- Cubic (c)

$$
\text { - } a=b=c, a=\beta=y=90^{\circ}
$$

- Centering
- Primitive (P)
- Axis centered (A,B,C)
- Body-centered (I)
- Face-centered (F)
- Rhombohedral (R)


simple tetragonal

simple body-centered orthorhombic orthorhombic


body-centered tetragonal

base-centered orthorhombic

simple monoclinic




face-centered orthorhombic

## Indexing \& Space Groups

| LATTICECHARACTER | BRAVAIS- <br> LATTICE | QUALITY OF FIT | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { UNIT CELL CONST } \\ \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | ANTS (A | (ANGSTRO alpha | $\begin{gathered} \text { EM \& I } \\ \text { beta } \end{gathered}$ | DEGREES) gamma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * 44 | aP | 0.0 | 98.5103 .5 | 106.3 | 30.1 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| * 31 | aP | 0.0 | 98.5103 .5 | 106.3 | 39.9 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| * 35 | mP | 0.2 | 103.598 .5 | 106.3 | 390.0 | 90.1 | 90.0 |
| * 34 | mP | 0.6 | 98.5106 .3 | 103.5 | 90.1 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| * 33 | mP | 0.7 | 98.5103 .5 | 106.3 | 390.1 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| * 32 | oP | 0.8 | 98.5103 .5 | 106.3 | 90.1 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| * 25 | mC | 30.7 | 148.3 148.4 | 98.5 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 88.5 |
| * 23 | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30.8 | 148.3148 .4 |  |  |  |  |
| * 20 | mC | 30.8 | 148.4148 .3 | Possibl | le solutio |  |  |
| * 21 | tP | 31.4 | 103.5106 .3 | - All eq | qually like |  |  |
| * 14 | mC | 52.1 | 142.9142 .9 | - Usual | ally we sel | lect the | highest |
| * 13 | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 52.2 | $142.9 \quad 142.9$ | correct | ct cell could | d have | lower sym |
| * 10 | mC | 52.2 | $142.9 \quad 142.9$ |  |  |  |  |
| * 11 | tP | 52.3 | 98.5103 .5 | 106.3 | 90.1 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| 4 | hR | 82.8 | 142.9 145.0 | 178.0 | 93.5 | 87.8 | 117.9 |
| 2 | hR | 83.0 | 142.9145 .0 | 178.2 | 293.6 | 87.7 | 118.0 |
| 3 | cP | 83.4 | 98.5103 .5 | 106.3 | 390.1 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| 5 | cI | 248.9 | 144.94142 .9 | 148.3 | 39.7 | 58.3 | 62.1 |
| 39 | mC | 249.9 | 229.298 .5 | 106.3 | 390.0 | 90.1 | 64.6 |
| 37 | mC | 250.1 | 234.3 98.5 | 103.5 | 90.0 | 90.1 | 65.1 |
| 38 | oc | 250.5 | $98.5 \quad 229.2$ | 106.3 | 389.9 | Possible polymorphism? <br> - Proteins can crystallise in more than one lattice and space group |  |
| 29 | mC | 250.5 | $98.5 \quad 229.2$ | 106.3 | 39.9 |  |  |
| 28 | mC | 250.6 | 98.5 234 4 | 1035 | 50 |  |  |
| 36 | oc | 250.7 | $98.5 \quad 234.3$ | 103.5 | 89.9 |  |  |
| 41 | mC | 275.4 | 236.4103 .5 | 98.5 | 90.0 |  |  |
| 30 | mC | 275.4 | 103.5236 .4 | 98.5 | 590.0 | 90.0 | 64.1 |
| 40 | OC | 275.4 | 103.5236 .4 | 98.5 | 590.0 | 90.0 | 115.9 |

## Display Predictions



Integration


| 85 | 90 | 73 | 65 | 74 | 78 | 76 | 69 | 69 | 50 | 57 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 76 | 78 | 71 | 66 | 75 | 83 | 75 | 59 | 78 | 71 | 61 |
| 75 | 88 | 81 | 69 | 86 | 123 | 130 | 88 | 84 | 76 | 74 |
| 70 | 81 | 81 | 85 | 188 | 546 | 694 | 147 | 79 | 73 | 80 |
| 95 | 94 | 90 | 86 | 159 | 408 | 508 | 143 | 91 | 69 | 63 |
| 82 | 81 | 80 | 83 | 107 | 153 | 163 | 102 | 88 | 73 | 62 |
| 77 | 77 | 75 | 79 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 78 | 76 | 79 | 83 |
| 80 | 78 | 81 | 77 | 74 | 74 | 82 | 76 | 76 | 71 | 83 |
| 79 | 77 | 73 | 14 | 69 | 61 | 80 | 19 | 69 | 64 | 87 |

## Data Quality Table

- Resolution limits
- Shells of resolution
- Determine high limit cut-off
- Completeness
- Should be close 100\%
- Typically less in low and high resolution shells
- R -factors
- Residual factor
- $\quad C(1 / 2)$
- Pearson's Correlation Coefficient
- I / sigma
- Signal-to-noise ratio
- Anomalous
- Differrences between $I(h k l)$ and $I(-h,-k,-l)$
- Pearson's Correlation Coefficient
- Signal-to-noise ratio
- R-factor (R-anom, but not shown)
- Multiplicity (not shown)
- Number observed / Number unique
- Very important

SUBSET OF INTENSITY DATA WITH SIGNAL/NOISE >= -3.0 AS FUNCTION OF RESOLUTION

| RESOLUTION LIMIT | NUMBER | OF REFLECTIONS |  | COMPLETENESSOF DATA | R-FACTOR <br> observed | R-FACTOR expected | COMPARED | I/SIGMA | R-meas | CC(1/2) | Anomal Corr | SigAno | Nano |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OBSERVED | UNIQUE | POSSIBLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.17 | 10113 | 848 | 890 | 95.3\% | 3.3\% | 4.5\% | 10104 | 54.84 | 3.5\% | 99.9* | 100* | 19.960 | 309 |
| 3.67 | 19342 | 1587 | 1588 | 99.9\% | 3.7\% | 4.6\% | 19340 | 55.66 | 3.9\% | 99.9* | 99* | 15.770 | 677 |
| 3.00 | 24467 | 2016 | 2016 | 100.0\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% | 24467 | 53.71 | 4.4\% | 99.9* | 99* | 14.968 | 891 |
| 2.60 | 28113 | 2383 | 2383 | 100.0\% | 4.7\% | 4.8\% | 28113 | 48.64 | 5.0\% | 99.9* | 99* | 15.249 | 1077 |
| 2.33 | 31467 | 2727 | 2727 | 100.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 31467 | 43.92 | 5.4\% | 99.9* | 99* | 13.790 | 1245 |
| 2.13 | 34446 | 2999 | 2999 | 100.0\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 34446 | 40.18 | 5.7\% | 99.9* | 99* | 12.101 | 1381 |
| 1.97 | 37481 | 3257 | 3257 | 100.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.3\% | 37481 | 33.94 | 6.5\% | 99.8* | 99* | 10.461 | 1514 |
| 1.84 | 36454 | 3478 | 3489 | 99.7\% | 8.3\% | 8.8\% | 36453 | 23.78 | 8.8\% | 99.8* | 98* | 7.638 | 1626 |
| 1.74 | 18105 | 3400 | 3771 | 90.2\% | 12.0\% | 14.2\% | 17860 | 10.35 | 13.2\% | 98.6* | 94* | 3.698 | 1417 |
| total | 239988 | 22695 | 23120 | 98.2\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% | 239731 | 36.47 | 4.7\% | 99.9* | 99* | 11.244 | 10137 |

## Scaling Diffraction Data




- Scale equivalent reflections
- I(h,k,l) = I(-h,-k,-l) = etc...
- Depends upon crystal's "point group"
- Corrections for:
- Incident beam variations
- Changes in illuminated volumes
- Detector inhomogeneities
- Effects of absorption
- Lorentz and polarisation effects
- Over-scaling is possible....
- Squashing of anomalous signal
- e.g. radiation damage


## Automated Data Processing Software




- Common processing programs
- XDS = X-ray Detector Systems
- Wolfgang Kabsch \& Kay Dietrichs
- MOSFLM
- Andrew Leslie \& Harry Powel
- DENZO-HKL2000
- Z. Otwinowski \& W. Minor
- DIALS
- DIAMOND Light Source
- Pipelines
- AUTOPROC (Global Phasing Ltd)
- XDS
- XDSME (SOLEIL)
- XDS Made Easy
- XDS \& CCP4
- XIA2 (DLS)
- DIALS or XDS
- XDSGUI
- XDS


## Data File Formats

- Data formats
- XDS (ascii)
- XDS_ASCII.HKL
- Header and colums
- H,K,L,I,IOBS,XDET,YDET,PHI,...
- CCP4 MTZ (binary with columns)
- Project.mtz
- Columns = H,K,L,I/SYMI.FOBS,SIGFOBS, ...
- DENZO SCA (ascii)
- Project.sca
- DIALS REFL
- MessagePack with columns
- Integrate.refl
- SHELX (ascii)
- H,K,L,F


## 3D Maps \& Examples

Multi-point MAD




## Other multi-point MAD structures



Unknown Heavy Atoms: Finding a Nickel





## Radiation Damage \& Heavy Atoms



## Conclusions

## Crystallography after 100 years



- Structural Biology
- PDB X-ray crystal structures
- >180,000 entries total
- $>9 k$ entries added annually
- 48 Nobel Laureates
- William Henry Bragg \& William Lawrence Bragg (1915)
- For their services in the analysis of crystal structure by means of X-rays
- David Julius \& Ardem Patapoutian (2021)
- For the discoveries of receptors for temperature and touch
- Industrial applications
- Pharmaceutical
- Drug Discovery
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