Dear Reviewer,

Thank you for your careful reading our manuscript and for giving many kindly suggestions. We revised the manuscript according to your suggestions.

The following is our replies for your suggestions, and your comments were quoted in blue.

Sincerely,

Jiro Suzuki

The topic is very interesting, but the material is presented in a somewhat abbreviated form. In particular, important information about the content of the input data is missing in the text (and not sufficiently represented in the cited papers).

We agree your comment. The description of the input data is appended in the fourth section.

It would be helpful to a reader, if the authors would have added a reference to the article

[T. Nakatani, Y. Inamura, T. Ito, S. Harjo, R. Kajimoto, M. Arai, T. Ohhara,

H. Nakagawa, T. Aoyagi, T. Otomo, J. Suzuki, T. Morishima, S. Muto,

R. Kadono, S. Torii, Y. Yasu, T. Hosoya,

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK IN J-PARC/MLF, in: Proc.

ICALEPCS2009, Kobe, Japan, 2009: pp. 676?678.

https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/icalepcs2009/papers/thc005.pdf

(accessed October 14, 2016)].

Without knowledge of this work, the value of the present article is difficult to understand.

We agree your comment. The reference suggested by you are appended, and modified the description in the first section.

Information about existing applications of the library

(in the text or in the references) would also be appropriate.

Since the volume of the article is only three pages instead of the recommended six, the expansion of the text is very desirable.

We agree your comment. The description of the application software, the floor layout of MLF (Fig.1) and the screen shot (Fig. 2) are appended in the first section. The volume of the article is increased.

It is necessary to correct the grammar in section 2.

We agree your comment. The grammar is improved in the second section.

The style of the references needs to be updated according to the style from

'Journal of Physics: Conference Series'. Criteria Evaluation

We agree your comment. We revised the style.