

EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

Science Update

Andreas Schreyer Director for Science

IKON 11, 14th September 2016

Priorities for 2016 concerning instruments



- Scope setting for 13 further instruments
- Realign NSS budget



- Develop a realistic schedule for all instruments ensuring early science success in line with available in-kind resources and partner capabilities
- Propose which instruments are to be operational first
- Proposal to Council in December 2016 on how to fund instruments plus everything else required for early science success within budget of 350 MEUR
- Operations budget



Mon	Тие	Wed	Thu		Fri		Sat	Sun
2016 October							1	2
3	4	5	6		7		8	9
		ODIN			C-SPE	C		
10	11	12	13		14		15	16
HEIMDAL		BIFROST			BEE	R		
17	18	19	20		21		22	23
FREIA		MAGIC				LES		
24	25	26	27		28		29	30
Operations Review			VESPA		T-REX			
provisional:	STAP	Scope-Set	tting TG2 R		Review			
agreed:	STAP	Scope-Set	tting	ing TG2 Review				

Instrument Beamports Allocated



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

Instruments 1-16; funded by NSS construction project Two instruments have moved; 1111111111 • ESTIA; E1 -> E2 - for 11111111111 cleaner view of source • SKADI ; E8 -> E5 - for more space (= lower technical risk) 15 (+1) Neutron • DREAM; S4 -> S3 -Instruments (2025) change request received for more HRE space and gain of additional useable beamport Proton 150 m 50 m 100 m Instrument Layout (Jun 2016)

New Procedure for Decisions on Construction of Instruments (June Council)



 New approach has the great advantage that it will be possible to propose an overall strategy and close to complete view for the 16 instruments which are in the scope of NSS within the ringfenced NSS construction budget.



EUROPEAN SPALLATION

SOURCE

Construction and operations budget profiles



NSS achievement based transition milestones to operations based on draft schedule of instruments



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

Neutron Bunker Test Beamline LOKI (N7) DREAM (S4) ODIN (S2) BEER (W2) ESTIA (E1) **NMX (W1)** MAGIC (W6) C-SPEC (W3) **BIFROST (W4)** SKADI (E8) FREIA (N5) HEIMDAL (W8) T-REX (W7) VESPA (E7) MIRACLES (W5) VOR (S11) or NSE (N1)

User Programme

SSS -Critical Sam. Environment SSS - Sample Workflow & Tracking SSS - Laboratories

> DMSC - Data Systems DMSC - Data Management DMSC - Instrument Data DMSC - Data Analysis

INT - Detector Systems INT - Chopper Systems INT - Optics & Shielding INT - Mot. Contr. & Automation



Potential order of commencement of operation of first 8 instruments (August 2023)



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

2nd Annual Review Recommendation (0.5)

Prioritisation of instruments within budget must ensure that the first tranche of instruments (8) is ready to deliver world-class science at the start of user operations (2023)

Matching early success in delivery of scientific outputs with the capacity of Lead In-Kind partners to deliver on schedule (ISIS, PSI, FZJ, LLB, HZG/NPI, TUM/PSI, TUM/LLB & DTU lead consortium).

Instrument Class	Sub-class	Candidates			
Large Scale Structures	Small Angle Scattering	LOKI (ISIS) or <mark>SKADI</mark> (FZJ)	Instruments in		
	Reflectometry	tometry ESTIA (PSI) or FREIA (ISIS)			
Diffraction	Powder Diffraction	DREAM (FZJ) or HEIMDAL (ÅU)	Aug 2023		
	Single crystal diffraction	MAGIC (LLB) or NMX (ESS)			
Engineering	Strain scanning	BEER (HZG/NPI)			
	Imaging and tomography	ODIN (TUM/PSI)			
Spectroscopy	Direct Geometry	C-SPEC (TUM) or T-REX (FZJ)			
	Indirect Geometry	BIFROST (DTU) , MIRACLES (Bilbao), VESPA (CNR)			

Neutron Beam Instrument Draft Schedule



Proton Beam Power (MW)

Neutron Beam Instrument Draft Schedule



ESS target assembly





Moderator Baseline: Butterfly



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

Height of top moderator: 3 cm



Moderator Baseline: Butterfly





Moderator Baseline: Butterfly





Moderator Brightness







SOLIDCE

Comparison of ESS moderator options

- ESS concept includes an upper 3 cm high and lower 6 cm high version of the butterfly moderator
- Most (~80 %) of funded neutron instruments plan to view top moderator, due to its higher brightness
- The other instruments plan to use lower moderator due to its higher area-integrated brightness





Comparing moderator options; (L. Zanini & F. Mezei)



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE





Alternate design;

3 cm upper + 6 cm lower moderator

3 cm upper moderator + 14 cm lower reflector

Comparing calculated source brightness



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

Configuration	we address to u	Relative brightness (n/cm ² /s/sr/Å)		
Configuration	moderator	cold neutrons	thermal neutrons	
Current design;	upper	1.00	1.00	
3 cm upper + 6 cm lower moderator	lower*	0.64	0.70	
Alternate design; 3 cm upper moderator + lower reflector	upper	1.17	1.15	

* Relative area-integrated brightness of the lower moderator scales in proportion to its height (x 2)

Can the beam transport system of the concerned neutron instruments

be optimized to take advantage of the brighter single upper moderator?

- For ODIN & HEIMDAL, the conclusion is Yes
- For MIRACLES, the conclusion is most probably

Table derived from ESS internal report by F. Mezei, 18 Nov. 2015

Two-moderator decision

- The two moderators provide similar performance for the planned suite of instruments, offering limited flexibility
- Average performance of the facility increases by > 10 % by replacing the lower moderator by a neutron reflector (Ni, Pb or W),
- This change has been estimated to save >1.5 M€/year in operation
- Installation of the lower moderator now would block/Make expensive (20 40 M€ In repositioning/replacement of lower moderator Instruments) the life cycle flexibility of ESS benefitting from future moderator upgrades
 - Possible future developments: e.g. very cold neutrons, Maximum brightness moderator for small phase space instruments, more directional moderator...
 - Primary upgrade path is more instruments on the main target station



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

Neutron Scattering Systems Budget

NSS Budget March 2016: 350M€



- Directorate Mgmt
- Instrument Concepts
- Science Support Systems
- Data Mgmt (DMSC)
- Instrument Technologies
- Instrument Integration Mgmt
- Neutron Guide Bunker
- Neutron Beam Instruments
- NSS Contingency

Notes

- NSS needs contingency > 10 % of cost to complete (over instrument contingencies)
- Pressure to increase Neutron instrument component
- NSS budget to be resolved in 2016

NSS Internal WP scope setting (2015 & 2016) -changes



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

NSS has worked hard to shift budget/ resources into priority areas for early science success;

Work Package	Budget - Sept 2016 (k€)	Change from 2014
13.1 Management & Administration	5,580	-11%
13.2 Instrument Concepts	6,167	-36%
13.3 Science Support Systems	20,569	-12%
13.4 DMSC	20,072	-25%
13.5 Instrument Technology	49,128	-27%
13.6 Instrument Construction	213,706	13%
13.6.1 Management & Admin	10,392	30%
13.6.2 Guide Bunker	14,600	N/A
13.6.3-X Instruments 1-16	188,714	4%
13.7 NSS Contingency	34,778	26%
NSS Total	350,000	

- Notes;
 - 10.46 MEUR shifted to 13.7 and 13.6 in summer 2016
 - NSS contingency now at 11 % of cost to complete
 - Bunker provisional budget set in Aug 2015 currently being re-costed



- All instrument designs should be published
- Proposal: special issue of Journal of Applied Crystallography
 - instrument description after phase 1 completion
 - basic science case
 - instrument design
 - performance calculations
 - comparison to other instruments
 - publication during 2017
 - feedback on Friday, please

¹⁰B₄C Multi-Grid detector test at CNCS (SNS)



EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

brightness



Installation completed! Detector inaccessible for next 6 months

Detector shielded with boron and cadmium

Exciting results! Talk on Thursday at 15:30





Status of Neutron Scattering Systems

- moved from instrument selection to construction
 - 15 instruments in construction
- all major in-kind partners now actively participating
- STAPs have been restructured
- beamport allocations made
- licensing application submitted
 - first step of process towards full operational license
- finalising key technical components
 - common shielding bunker
 - monolith beam extraction inserts
 - light shutters
 - civil engineering
- ramp-up of planning for operations
- John Womersley will replace Jim Yeck as Director General in November

Budget summary, (with comparison to 2014 budgets)



	Budget on 24 May (k€)	Change from 24 May Budget (⊮€)	Budget at 6 Sept 2016 (k€)	Change from CURRENT	Change from 2014 (%)
Work Package		(KE)		Budget (%)	(70)
13.1 Management & Administration	7,448	-1,868	5,580	-25%	-11%
13.2 Instrument Concepts	6,167	0	6,167	0%	-36%
13.3 Science Support Systems	22,769	-2,200	20,569	-10%	-12%
13.4 DMSC	25,022	-4,950	20,072	-20%	-25%
13.5 Instrument Technology	51,228	-2,100	49,128	-4%	-27%
13.6 Instrument Construction	211,486	2,220	213,706	1%	13%
13.6.1 Management & Admin	8,172	2,220	10,392	27%	30%
13.6.2 Guide Bunker	14,600	0	14,600	0%	N/A
13.6.3-X Instruments 1-16	188,714	0	188,714	0%	4%
13.7 NSS Contingency	25,880	8,898	34,778	34%	26%
NSS Total	350,000	0	350,000		
Contingency as % of co	11.2%	Float (k€)	3,778		

Instrument Budgets



- 16-instrument budget: 188.9M€
 - sum of proposal budgets: 250M€
- day one scope < full scope
- increase budget per instrument?
 - cost savings in other parts of NSS: 1M€/instrument = 20% cut
 - (day one instruments need adequate sample environment, labs, software, detectors, etc.)
 - fewer instruments?
- better understanding of shielding cost
- day one version must allow early scientific success
- funding for upgrades will be included in initial operations budget (to be proposed to council)
 - 25M€ for upgrades + 17.5M€ for hot commissioning of instruments 1-16
 - 85M€ for construction + 6.4M€ for hot commissioning of instruments 17-22
 - 3.3M€/year for instrument spares