



Mark Könnecke

## Control Systems Survey



- As part of a contract between ESS and PSI in the ESS design review phase I made a survey of control systems available in 2012
  - -Published through NOBUGS; gone now
- Within the ECP project I surveyed new systems which have emerged until now (2016)
- Participants in the survey:
  - -EPICS (3,4), TANGO, GDA, Sardana, NOMAD, IROHA, NICOS-2, SECI, IBEX, SNS, pshell, NSLS-2(bluesky)



- From experience I constructed a questionnaire covering various aspects of the control system:
  - -Design, parameter handling, configuration, processing logic, UI, and many more
- These questionnaires were filled in
- The questionnaires were discussed and validated with either the original authors of the software or experts in it –Thus I assume that they are not altogether wrong
- https://ess-ics.atlassian.net/wiki/display/DMSC/Reviews
  +of+Control+Systems



**Result Categories** 

- EPICS, TANGO are a separate class
  - -Distributed Hardware Abstraction Layer (D-HAL)
- Commonalities
- Differences
- Other Notes
- An Attempt at a Selection for ESS



- Separate ideosyncracies of hardware in little networked servers
- Multiple clients can access HW through a standard network protocol
  - -But they need to know what is there
- Large: collaborations, installations
- No free lunch:
  - -increase complexity
  - -no quick fixes
  - -new sources of
- BTW: what is sample environment doing?



**Common Control System Abstractions** 

- Everyone has a notion of a device
  - -Bunch of parameters
  - -Often hierarchical
  - -Readable,
  - -Movable, Scannable, etc.
  - -Motors are special
  - -Virtual motors
  - -Represent not only hardware but meta data etc. too
- Control Systems implement a container of some form for devices
- In servers: context objects for handling multiple clients



**Common Features** 

- Scanning
- Scripting and Batch Processing
- Various forms of waiting/running:
  - -wait for something to finish
  - -wait for a list of things to finish
  - -start without waiting
- Access control, three levels:
  - -RO
  - –User
  - -Specialist
- Data file writing (high entropy)



Common Technical Choices

- XML for configuration files
- Python for scripting and implementation
  - -C-python or jython
- Eclipse-RCP based UIs
  - -good, but 10 year old choice
- Client-Server architectures
  - -Instrument server(s)
  - -UI interacts with instrument server
- Linux as OS



**Common UI Elements** 

- CLI
- Log viewers
- 1D or 2D online data displays with interaction
- Hierarchical parameter displays
- Device lists
- Dashboards
- NEW: instrument schematic with possibility to drill down



**Common Network Patterns** 

- Direct bi-directional communication
  - -write
  - -read
- Publish-subscribe
- Some: RPC



Control System Experiences

- Control systems result of evolution
- Allow for easy modification of GUIs
- Hardware standardization is a good thing
- Independent of the technical choice, having a uniform system is important
- Make parts of the system replaceable
- Do not neglect the CLI
- Take care of data format and other standards right away
- Avoid blame games
- Collaboration can have its downsides



**Different Bases** 

- Based on EPICS:
  - -IBEX, SNS, NSLS-II, pshell, GDA
- Based on TANGO:
  - -Sardana, NICOS-2
- IROHA: own component model using HTTP XML-RCP as transport
- NOMAD: goes for hardware directly
- No (few) systems are exclusive



**Differences and Specialities** 

- Repositories of Ideas
- In alphabetical order:
  - -GDA
  - -IBEX
  - -IROHA
  - -NICOS-2
  - -NOMAD
  - -NSLS-II
  - -Pshell
  - -Sardana
  - -SECI
  - -SNS
- With silly comments from me



- Client-server system written in Java and an Eclipse-RCP GUI
- Uses CORBA and Java-RMI for communication between server and client
- Batton system to control access
- Client talks both to the server and EPICS
  - -Tight dependencies between server and client
- Writes NeXus files
- jython scripting
- Works nicely for DIAMOND
- Separate build/instrument



**GDA** Continued

- But nowhere else
  - -Modularisation issues
  - -Eclipse SW proved to be difficult to build automatically
  - -Server not OSGI: SPRING and XML
  - -What can we learn?
  - -Keep your stuff separate
    - core components
    - -library components shared between instruments
    - instrument specific components



- Thin layer on top of EPICS
- Main components:
  - -CSS based GUI
  - -blockserver to manage configurations: python CA server
  - -MySQL DB for holding PV-details
  - -EPICS gateway to translate short user names to PV
  - -Two archivers
    - -Run (streaming) data
    - instrument parameters and logs



- procServControl from Diamond, a tool to start/stop
  IOC via CA
- Scripting via CA, planning for a script and scan server
- As of now: no central instrument script server
- Lots of archiving and logging is done via EPIS tools
- Windows





- Based on Robotics Technology Middleware
  - -OMG standard component model
- TC Component
  - -Typed I/O interfaces
  - -Control/Service interfaces
- Data flow networks
- XML-RPC over HTTP
- Tools on top of the middleware
- Not managed by a central group



- Sits on top of TANGO
- Consists of a number of servers:
  - -nicos-daemon
  - -nicos-cache
  - -nicos-poller
  - -nicos-elog
  - -nicos-watchdog
- Very feature complete
- Configured via a directory hierarchy of python scripts



NICOS-2 Continued

- Implemented in python
- UI in PyQT
  - -I find the UI confusing
  - -PyQWT no longer supported
- Uses home grown network protocols based on python serialization
- The interface to image or other multi-dimensional detector data leaves to be desired



- Single, multithreaded C++ instrument server talking to the hardware directly
  - -Hardware:
    - -bus layer
    - driver layer
    - abstraction layer
  - -Control queue (internal DSL)
- (NO)MAD scripting interpreter



- Custom NOMAD Java GUI communicating with the server through CORBA
- Tree view of instrument
  - -Different level of detail revealed depending on user privilege
- Block language style graphical batch programming
- Data Display panes
- Very tightly integrated into NOMAD



NSLS-II Software System

- Multiple components on top of EPICS
  - -Bluesky, scan logic
  - -ophyd, interface to EPICS
  - -metadatastore
  - -filestore
  - -databroker
  - -suitcase
- Very, very tightly integrated with python
- As of now, no central instrument server but proof of concept





- At a fairly high level of abstraction
- A RunEngine executes Plans producing documents
- Plans are essentially sequences of messages for the run engine
  - -Something to iterate over (Generators)
- **Documents:** dictionaries with a scheme
  - -Consumers listen to these for online DR, storage etc., also via OMQ
- An application of functional programming to DAQ
- Abstraction: flyer device



- All documents go into a MongoDB, the metadatastore
- All files are indexed into the filestore
  - -your register a handler for the file type
  - -write file, register with filestore
- Databroker allows to search and access in python via file type handlers
- Suitcase packages data for transport off site into HDF-5 files



- jython and Java on top of EPICS
  - -Most functionality in jython
  - -Java for devices, device pool
  - -jython extended to provide much functionality of numpy
- Different run modes
  - -workbench
  - -server with REST API
  - -CLI
  - -Instrument specific UI





**PSHELL Continued** 

- Configurable ASCII and HDF-5 file saving
- Can stream data to other programs via OMQ
- Plugin mechanism, manage and create in workbench
- Uses git for configuration and script management
  - -git commit whenever a script is run
- Configuration editor integrated in workbench
- Uses threads for running
  - -normally blocks
  - -parallel execution



- Python on top of TANGO
- Sardana servers
  - -device pool
  - -macro server
- CLI client
- GUI client
- GUI toolkit
- NeXus file writing
- DataRecorder abstraction for data writing
- Very feature complete
- Used at ALBA, DESY, MAX-IV, Solaris, ESRF



- Is being phased out
- Labview for HW access
- C++ for neutron DAQ
- C# application SECI to organize Labview VI and n-DAQ
- Scripting in openGenie and python via DCOM to SECI
- Labview
  - -you can write good code in Labview
  - -but it is easy to write bad code, they rewrote 50% of their drivers
- Run ECP in VM



- Many failed attempts
- CSS, scanserver on top of EPICS

-Wizards to mitigate complexity of CSS GUI

- EPICS-4 replacing ADARA for event streaming
- Initial design fell over
  - -12-15 Windows PC per beamline
  - -NI-data sockets irregularly failing
  - -Commodity HW was not commodity



What we do not get

- No candidate implements configuration from a DB
- Integration with the ESS logging system has to be done for each of them
- Except PSHELL, no system implements a WWW-UI
  - -Well, we start with a CLI
  - -But the hooks must be there
- Integration with the ESS n-DAQ has to be done by us
- Integration with Online-DR (Mantid) has to be done by us



Attempt at a Pre-Selection

- GDA, NO, SW-management issues, jython
- IBEX, TBC
- IROHA, NO, difficult collaboration
- NICOS-2, TBC
- NOMAD, NO, but look at UI for ideas
- NSLS-II, TBC?
- Pshell, TBC
- Sardana, TBC
- SECI, NO, being phased out
- SNS, NO, similar in concept to IBEX, do not cross the pond



Candidates in Detail

• IBEX

-Missing the scripting server component

-Missing features

• NICOS-2

-Needs work at protocols and data interface

-Dependencies

• NSLS-II

-Young project

-No server yet



Candidates in Detail Continued

- PSHELL
  - -jython?
  - -Young project
  - -Standardization within PSI
- Sardana
  - -No one likes CORBA anymore
  - -Anecdotal evidence of SW organizational issues



- Great variety of systems out there ... and ... working
  - -All are the results of evolution
  - -Given enough Skill and manpower you get anything to work
- Let the fun begin.....
- Candidate solutions after preselection:
  - -Sardana
  - -NICOS-2
  - -Bluesky, NSLS-II
  - -IBEX, newDAS



Questions to be answered?????

- How tightly is Sardana integrated with Tango?
  - -Dependency on TANGO/CORBA? Plans to change that
- How willing are the bluesy people to collaborate?
  - -Do they have the resources to do that?
  - -Maturity level?
- TODO: fill in a matrix of criteria/candidates



Criteria by Freddie

- EPICS Support
- Support for non EPICS devices
- # community provided drivers
- Driver development time
- Ease of GUI configuration
- Support for synoptic view
- Support for "instrument configurations"
- GUI technology "looks nice", or is easy to make so
- Already used at other neutron sources / shared user base
- Size of development community / current development work / opportunities for collaboration
- Learning time
- Integration with Streaming



Criteria by Freddie 2

- Community size
- Use at other n-facilities
- Dependencies/Longevity
- Use of technology already available at ESS
- Multi platform client
- Security model
- Scan support
- Scripting support
- Remote WWW-interface
- Simulation support
- Logging/Error reporting integration
- Ease of analysis -DAQ integration