



Dirk Zimoch :: Controls Section :: Paul Scherrer Institut

Channel Access Push Proposal for a New Connection Method

EPICS Collaboration Meeting at ICALEPCS 2017



Channel Access Monitor Workflow

Current (simplified) work flow to set up a monitor

IOC		CA Client
Looks up PVs	\leftarrow	Searches for PVs (UDP broadcast)
Search response (UDP unicast)	\rightarrow	Gets host/port from response
Accepts connection	\leftarrow	Connects (TCP) to host/port
Installs subscriptions	\leftarrow	Set up monitors
Sends PV events	\rightarrow	Processes data
Goes offline		Searches again

What's wrong?

- Some "clients" (e.g. **archiver**) flood the network with broadcasts. Reasons: wrong configuration, IOCs offline, ...
- Archiver not a client at all, it's a **service**!



CA Client	CA Service		
PVs live on IOC			
IOC sends updates			
Runs on arbitrary hosts	Runs on well known host:port		
Can start and top at any time	Usually runs permanently		
Client wants something from IOC	IOC wants something from service		
IOC cannot know PVs needed by client: Configuration must be in the client	IOC knows PVs to send to service: Configuration should be in the IOC		

Let the IOC **push** its PVs to the service!

- Use info fields in records for configuration
- IOC does connection management



Channel Access Push Workflow

Proposed work flow to send data to services

IOC		CA Service
Connects (TCP) to well known host:port	\rightarrow	Accepts connection
Sends list of PVs (necessary?)		Sets up monitors
Sends PV events	\rightarrow	Processes data
Goes offline		Stops monitoring

A Channel Access service would be passive

- Service needs no PV list configuration
- PV list cannot be outdated
- Service does not send search broadcasts
- IOC **pushes** PVs to service
- Event/subscription concept and code probably does not change much
- "Only" connection setup needs to be developed



- Does this look useful?
- Channel Access or PV Access or both?
- Anyone volunteering to implement it?

